

4705 79-82 Dow

tesa 481-KGB 00467

### IN THE WAITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

**Applicants** 

JORN LEIBER ET AL.

Serial No.

08/976,820

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

Filed

: November 25, 1997

For

ŕ

USE OF AN ADHESIVE TAPE SECTION

Art Unit

1771

Examiner

J. Guarriello

June 19, 2002

Hon. Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

# APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.193(b)(1)

RECEIVED

JUL 8 - 2002

TC 1700

SIR:

Certain comments made by the Examiner in the Examiner's Answer merit brief reply.

First, the Examiner says in the middle of page 7 of the Examiner's Answer that the cited combination of references "suggests the number of grip tabs can be equal to the sides of the polygon shaped adhesive." Appellants respectfully disagree, and respectfully submit that the cited combination of references does not anywhere teach the instant claimed relationship between the number of sides of the polygon and the number of grip tabs. The Examiner does not anywhere in the Examiner's Answer explain where this suggestion can be found in the cited

combination of references. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Honorable Board find that no such suggestion exists.

The Examiner had stated at the bottom of page 5 of the Examiner's Answer that

Luhmann described grip tabs at column 2, lines 65-67. Appellants agree that grip tabs are taught

by Luhmann, but Appellants have consistently argued that Luhmann did not teach the instant

claimed relationship between the number of sides of the polygon and the number of grip tabs.

Accordingly, this relationship is unaccounted for in the Examiner's case for obviousness.

The Examiner points to Luhmann at column 2, lines 65-67, and column 3, lines 1-2, as teaching that a grip tab can be designed "so that non-adhesive areas can extend around the edge of the piece of adhesive." However, the Examiner does not explain what this would have taught or suggested to a person having ordinary skill in the art. Appellants submit that by "around," Luhmann clearly does not mean that the non-adhesive areas surround the adhesive areas. Instead, Luhmann literally means that the non-adhesive areas can be folded around the edge of the tape so that on both the top and bottom faces the end of the tape is non-adhesive. This is illustrated, for example, in Example 8 at columns 5-6, where a 5 mm non-adhesive release film was folded over each end of an adhesive film strip to form non-adhesive tabs at each end.

For the foregoing reasons and for the reasons advanced in Appellants' principal brief,

Appellants respectfully request that the Honorable Board reverse the final rejection.

#### **CONDITIONAL PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

If any extension of time for this response is required, Appellants request that this be considered a petition therefor. Please charge the required petition fee to Deposit Account No. 14-1263.

### **ADDITIONAL FEE**

Please charge any insufficiency of fees, or credit any excess to our Deposit Account No. 14-1263.

Respectfully submitted,

NORRIS MCLAUGHLÍN & MARCUS, P.A.

Bv

Kurt G. Briscoe Reg. No. 33,141

220 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street 30<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10017 (212) 808-0700

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: From Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date indicated below:

Date: June 19, 2002

Kurt G. Briscoe

JUN 2 5 2002

teas AG 481-KGB 00467

### #27 7-132

## IN THE UNKTED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

**Applicants** 

JORN LEIBER ET AL.

Serial No.

08/976,820

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

Filed

.

:

November 25, 1997

For

USE OF AN ADHESIVE TAPE SECTION

Art Unit

1771

Examiner

J. Guarriello

June 19, 2002

Hon. Commissioner For Patents Washington, D. C. 20231

RECEIVED
JUL 8 - 2002
TC 1700

### **REQUEST FOR ORAL HEARING**

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.194(b), Applicant in the above-identified application requests an oral hearing before the Board of Appeals. Please charge the request fee as indicated herein below to Deposit Account No. 14-1263. A copy of this request is attached for accounting purposes.

The request fee is:

[x] \$280.00 for other than small entity

[ ] \$140.00 for small entity

07/01/2002 SIE400E1 0000000E L-1090 (189788E0

31 70,021 229,00 04

### **CONDITIONAL PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

If any extension of time for this response is required, Applicants request that this be considered a petition therefor. Please charge the required Petition fee to Deposit Account No. 14-1263.

### **ADDITIONAL FEE**

Please charge any insufficiency of fees, or credit any excess to our Deposit Account No.

14-1263

Respectfully submitted

NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS,

Bv

Kurt G. Brische Reg. No.33, 41

KGB/ja

220 East 42<sup>nd</sup> Street 30<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10017

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Services as first class mail in an envelope addressed to The Hon. Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on June 19, 2002.

NORRIS McLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.

Date 6-19-02

Ву

2